

**From:** [Jay Field](#)  
**To:** [Margaret Spence](#)  
**Cc:** [Eric Blischke/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#); [Corinne Severn](#)  
**Subject:** Re: Fw: Surface Sediment Stations Excluded from BERA  
**Date:** 12/09/2010 04:37 PM  
**Attachments:** [PH\\_EXSAMPID\\_101208.DBF](#)

---

Margaret,  
try this. let me know if this doesn't solve the problem.  
Jay

On 12/9/2010 4:22 PM, Margaret Spence wrote:

> Any chance I could get a new version of your FPM spreadsheet with that field included?

>

> -----Original Message-----

> From: Jay Field [mailto:Jay.Field@noaa.gov]

> Sent: Thursday, December 09, 2010 4:09 PM

> To: Margaret Spence

> Cc: Blischke.Eric@epamail.epa.gov

> Subject: Re: Fw: Surface Sediment Stations Excluded from BERA

>

> Margaret,

> If you run a QM concentration range query (say for total PAH, reported), you will get a left-truncated version of the LWG sampleid in the field "EXSAMPID".

> call me if you have any questions.

> Jay

>

> On 12/9/2010 2:40 PM, Margaret Spence wrote:

>> Jay--

>>

>> I'm trying to match up station data between your LRM results and the LWG's Access database. Unfortunately, the location/station/sample ID schemes don't appear to match up for many of the records. Assuming your data came out of Query Manager, are there any other fields you have in your data set that provide more detailed information regarding sample IDs, etc.?

>>

>> Thanks.

>> Margaret

>>

>> -----Original Message-----

>> From: Jay Field [mailto:Jay.Field@noaa.gov]

>> Sent: Tuesday, December 07, 2010 6:26 PM

>> To: Blischke.Eric@epamail.epa.gov

>> Cc: Margaret Spence; Robert Neely; Burt Shepard

>> Subject: Re: Fw: Surface Sediment Stations Excluded from BERA

>>

>> Eric,

>> attached is the file with pmax values for all data. note that there is a field in the database called INCLUDE: samples with INCLUDE="N" are the samples that should be excluded.

>> I recommend excluding or ignoring samples with max\_chem="SILVER" where the N\_GT50 =1 or N\_GT75 (where Silver is the only chemical exceeding a probability of 0.5 or 0.75).

>> Jay

>>

>> On 12/7/2010 8:46 AM, Blischke.Eric@epamail.epa.gov wrote:

>>> Sorry about that.

>>>

>>> Here is the attachment.

>>>

>>> Eric

>>> ----- Forwarded by Eric Blischke/R10/USEPA/US on 12/07/2010 08:45 AM

>>> -----

>>> From: "Sandy Browning" <sbrowning@integral-corp.com>

>>> To: Eric Blischke/R10/USEPA/US@EPA

>>> Cc: <johnt@windwardenv.com>, "Gene Revelas"

>>> <grevelas@integral-corp.com>

>>> Date: 12/06/2010 07:23 PM

>>> Subject: FW: Surface Sediment Stations Excluded from BERA

>>>

>>>

>>> Eric. Here you go.

>>>

>>> Sandy

>>>

>>> -----Original Message-----

>>> From: Kim Goffman [mailto:KimG@windwardenv.com]

>>> Sent: Monday, December 06, 2010 5:39 PM

>>> To: Sandy Browning

>>> Subject: RE: Surface Sediment Stations Excluded from BERA

>>>

>>> Sandy,

>>>

>>> Here's a list of the excluded surface sediment samples.

>>>

>>> Kim

>>>

>>> -----Original Message-----

>>> From: Sandy Browning [mailto:sbrowning@integral-corp.com]

>>> Sent: Monday, December 06, 2010 5:16 PM

>>> To: Kim Goffman

>>> Subject: FW: Surface Sediment Stations Excluded from BERA

>>>

>>> Hi Kim.

>>>

>>> I believe you included this in the BERA as an appendix. Do you have an Excel table you could share with EPA?

>>>  
>>>  
>>> Thanks.  
>>>  
>>> Sandy  
>>>  
>>> -----Original Message-----  
>>> From: Blischke.Eric@epamail.epa.gov  
>>> [mailto:Blischke.Eric@epamail.epa.gov]  
>>> Sent: Monday, December 06, 2010 4:52 PM  
>>> To: Sandy Browning  
>>> Cc: johnt@windwardenv.com; Gene Revelas  
>>> Subject: Surface Sediment Stations Excluded from BERA  
>>>  
>>>  
>>> Sandy, do you have a list of surface sediment station locations that  
>>> were excluded from the baseline ecological risk assessment?  
>>>  
>>> We are working on providing the LWG with an updated Logistic  
>>> Regression Model and want to make sure that we are not modeling  
>>> stations that do not need to be included either because they are  
>>> outside the study area or because they represent areas that have been dredged or remediated.  
>>> If such a table exists somewhere, please point me in the right  
>>> direction.  
>>>  
>>> Thanks, Eric  
>>>  
>>> (See attached file: BERA\_Sample\_Exclusions.xlsx)  
>> --  
>> Jay Field  
>> Assessment and Restoration Division  
>> Office of Response and Restoration, NOAA  
>> 7600 Sand Point Way NE  
>> Seattle, WA 98115-6349  
>> (P) 206-526-6404  
>> (F) 206-526-6865  
>> (E) jay.field@noaa.gov  
>>  
>>  
> --  
> Jay Field  
> Assessment and Restoration Division  
> Office of Response and Restoration, NOAA  
> 7600 Sand Point Way NE  
> Seattle, WA 98115-6349  
> (P) 206-526-6404  
> (F) 206-526-6865  
> (E) jay.field@noaa.gov  
>  
>  
>  
  
--  
Jay Field  
Assessment and Restoration Division  
Office of Response and Restoration, NOAA  
7600 Sand Point Way NE  
Seattle, WA 98115-6349  
(P) 206-526-6404  
(F) 206-526-6865  
(E) jay.field@noaa.gov